

WELL-POSEDNESS OF HIGHER DIMENSIONAL CAMASSA-HOLM EQUATIONS

François GAY-BALMAZ¹

Communicated to:

9-ème Colloque franco-roumain de math. appl., 28 août-2 sept. 2008, Braşov, Romania

Abstract

We formulate the n -dimensional Camassa-Holm (CH) equation on an arbitrary compact Riemannian manifold with boundary, and show that these equations are well-posed with respect to Dirichlet or Navier-slip boundary conditions. The method of proof consists in showing that the physically relevant H^1 -like Riemannian metrics admit a smooth geodesic spray on the diffeomorphism groups associated to the above boundary conditions.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 37K65, 35Q35, 35Q53, 58D05, 58B20, 53D25.

1 Introduction

Like the KdV equation, the Camassa-Holm (CH) equation describes the unidirectional propagation of waves at the free surface of shallow water under the influence of gravity, [1]. In the dispersionless limit, the CH equation is given by

$$u_t - u_{xxt} = -3uu_x + 2u_xu_{xx} + uu_{xxx},$$

where the fluid velocity vector u is a function of position $x \in \mathbb{R}$ (or $x \in S^1$ in the case of periodic boundary conditions) and time $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The main results for this equation are its complete integrability, which is guaranteed by its Hamiltonian structure, and the spontaneous emergence of singular solutions, [1]. Another important property, on which we will focus in this note, is that this fluid model describes a geodesic flow on the group of diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{R} (or S^1) relative to a H^1 metric.

The n -dimensional version of the dispersionless CH equation, is obtained by generalizing the above geodesic property to n -dimensional manifolds. The resulting equation is sometimes called EPDiff, which is short for *Euler-Poincaré equation on diffeomorphism group*, see [4].

¹Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Suisse

By extending the results of [6] and [3], we formulate the n -dimensional CH equation on an arbitrary compact Riemannian manifold with boundary, and show that these equations are well-posed with respect to Dirichlet or Navier-slip boundary conditions. The method of proof consists in showing that the physically relevant H^1 Riemannian metrics admit a smooth geodesic spray. This idea goes back to [2] and was applied there to prove that the incompressible Euler equations are well-posed on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) with boundary.

2 The geometry of the n -dimensional CH equation

We consider a compact and oriented n -dimensional Riemannian manifold M with smooth boundary ∂M . We denote by $\mu \in \Omega^n(M)$ the Riemannian volume and by $\mu_\partial \in \Omega^{n-1}(\partial M)$ the naturally induced boundary volume form.

Diffeomorphism groups. For $s > 1 + n/2$ the group $\mathcal{D}^s := \mathcal{D}^s(M)$ of all Sobolev H^s diffeomorphisms of M can be endowed with a smooth infinite dimensional Hilbert manifold structure modeled on the space \mathfrak{X}_\parallel^s of all Sobolev H^s vector field on M parallel to the boundary.

We consider two subgroups of \mathcal{D}^s which correspond to Dirichlet or Navier-slip boundary conditions. The *Dirichlet diffeomorphism group* is defined by $\mathcal{D}_D^s := \{\eta \in \mathcal{D}^s \mid \eta|_{\partial M} = id_{\partial M}\}$. The *Navier diffeomorphism group* is defined by $\mathcal{D}_N^s := \{\eta \in \mathcal{D}^s \mid (T\eta|_{\partial M} \circ n)^{tan} = 0 \text{ on } \partial M\}$, where n denotes the outward-pointing unit normal vector field along ∂M and $(\cdot)^{tan}$ denotes the tangential part to the boundary of a vector in $TM|_{\partial M}$.

The groups \mathcal{D}_D^s and \mathcal{D}_N^s are smooth Hilbert submanifolds and subgroups of \mathcal{D}^s (see [6]). The corresponding tangent spaces at the identity id_M are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_D^s &:= T_{id_M} \mathcal{D}_D^s = \left\{ u \in \mathfrak{X}_\parallel^s \mid u|_{\partial M} = 0 \right\} = H^s \cap H_0^1, \\ \mathcal{V}_N^s &:= T_{id_M} \mathcal{D}_N^s = \left\{ u \in \mathfrak{X}_\parallel^s \mid (\nabla_n u|_{\partial M})^{tan} + S_n(u) = 0 \text{ on } \partial M \right\} \\ &= \left\{ u \in \mathfrak{X}_\parallel^s \mid [\text{Def}(u) \cdot u]^{tan} = 0 \text{ on } \partial M \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $S_n : T\partial M \rightarrow T\partial M$ is the Weingarten map defined by $S_n(u) := -\nabla_u n$ and Def is the deformation tensor given by $\text{Def } u := \frac{1}{2} (\nabla u + \nabla u^T)$.

Weak Riemannian metrics. We consider two inner products associated to the following elliptic differential operators

$$\Delta_R := -\nabla^* \nabla = \Delta + \text{Ric} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L} := -2 \text{Def}^* \text{Def} = \Delta + \text{grad div} + 2 \text{Ric},$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita covariant derivative, $\Delta = -\delta \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{d} \delta$ is the positive definite Hodge Laplacian, Ric is the Ricci operator of the metric, and the star denotes the formal L^2 -adjoint differential operator.

Denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$ the L^2 -inner product on arbitrary tensors of the same type relative to the given Riemannian metric. We recall the Green formulas

$$\langle \Delta_R u, v \rangle_0 = -\langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle_0 + \int_{\partial M} g(\nabla_n u, v) \mu_{\partial}, \quad (1)$$

$$\langle \mathcal{L}u, v \rangle_0 = -2\langle \text{Def } u, \text{Def } v \rangle_0 + \int_{\partial M} g((\nabla_n u)^{tan} + S_n(u), v) \mu_{\partial}. \quad (2)$$

Thus, for all $\alpha > 0$, we can consider the following weak inner products

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{\Delta_R} := \langle (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta_R)u, v \rangle_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} := \langle (1 - \alpha^2 \mathcal{L})u, v \rangle_0$$

on \mathcal{V}_D^s and \mathcal{V}_N^s respectively. We denote by \mathcal{G}_{Δ_R} and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}$ the associated right-invariant weak Riemannian metrics on \mathcal{D}_D^s and \mathcal{D}_N^s , respectively. Using the general Euler-Poincaré reduction theorem (see [5]), we conclude that a curve $\eta(t) \in \mathcal{D}_D^s$ is a geodesic with respect to the weak Riemannian metric \mathcal{G}_{Δ_R} if and only if the curve $u(t) := \dot{\eta}(t) \circ \eta(t)^{-1} \in \mathcal{V}_D^s$ is a solution of the n -dimensional Camassa-Holm equations with *Dirichlet boundary conditions*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t m + \nabla_u m + \nabla u^T \cdot m + m \operatorname{div} u = 0, & m = (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta_R)u, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial M. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Similarly, a curve $\eta(t) \in \mathcal{D}_N^s$ is a geodesic with respect to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}$ if and only if $u(t) := \dot{\eta}(t) \circ \eta(t)^{-1} \in \mathcal{V}_N^s$ is a solution of the n -dimensional Camassa-Holm equations with *Navier-slip boundary conditions*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t m + \nabla_u m + \nabla u^T \cdot m + m \operatorname{div} u = 0, & m = (1 - \alpha^2 \mathcal{L})u, \\ g(u, n) = 0, \quad [\text{Def}(u) \cdot n]^{tan} = 0 & \text{on } \partial M. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Thus, in order to prove the well-posedness of these PDE's, it suffices to show the local existence of the geodesics associated to the weak Riemannian metrics \mathcal{G}_{Δ_R} and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}}$ on the corresponding diffeomorphism groups. This approach is due to [2] and used there to show the well-posedness of the incompressible Euler equations. The same method is used in [6] and [3], in the case of the averaged Euler equations.

3 Well-posedness

Theorem 1. *The weak Riemannian Hilbert manifolds $(\mathcal{D}_D^s, \mathcal{G}_{\Delta_R})$ and $(\mathcal{D}_N^s, \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}})$ admit smooth geodesic sprays, denoted by \mathcal{S}_D and \mathcal{S}_N , respectively.*

The following corollary is obtained by solving the ordinary differential equations $\ddot{\eta} = \mathcal{S}_D(\dot{\eta})$ and $\ddot{\eta} = \mathcal{S}_N(\dot{\eta})$ on \mathcal{D}_D^s and \mathcal{D}_N^s .

Corollary 1. *Consider a compact manifold M with a smooth boundary (possibly empty) and fix $s > 1 + n/2$. Then for all $u_0 \in \mathcal{V}_D^s$, the n -dimensional Camassa-Holm with Dirichlet*

boundary conditions (3) admits a unique local in time solution u_t with initial condition u_0 . Moreover we have

$$t \mapsto u_t \in C^0(]-\epsilon, \epsilon[, \mathcal{V}_D^s) \cap C^1(]-\epsilon, \epsilon[, \mathcal{V}_D^{s-1}),$$

and for all $t \in]-\epsilon, \epsilon[$, the map $u_0 \in \mathcal{V}_D^s \mapsto u(t) \in \mathcal{V}_D^s$ is continuous. The same result holds for Navier-slip boundary conditions.

References

- [1] Camassa, R., Holm, D. D., *An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (11) (1993), 1661–1664.
- [2] Ebin, D. G., Marsden, J. E., *Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid*, Ann. of Math. **92** (1970), 102–163.
- [3] Gay-Balmaz, F., Ratiu, T. S., *The Lie-Poisson structure of the LAE- α equation*, Dynamics of PDE **2** (1) (2005), 25–57.
- [4] Holm, D. D. Marsden, J. E., *Momentum maps and measure-valued solutions (peakons, filaments and sheets) for the EPDiff equation*, In The Breadth of Symplectic and Poisson Geometry, A Festschrift for Alan Weinstein, 203-235, Progr. Math., **232**, J. E. Marsden and T. S. Ratiu, Editors, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004.
- [5] Marsden, J. E., Ratiu T. S., *Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry*, Texts in Applied Mathematics, **17**, Springer-Verlag, 1994; Second Edition, 1999, second printing 2003.
- [6] Shkoller, S., *Analysis on groups of diffeomorphisms of manifolds with boundary and the averaged motion of a fluid*, J. Diff. Geom., **55**(1) (2000), 145–191.