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#### Abstract

The present study aims at focusing the success of free throws in the game of women's basketball, analyzing the main competitions at a level of selected ones. Thus the results of the percentage of the free throws that scored and the importance of these in the total number of scored points of the main teams of women's basketball of the United States of America have been calculated, the team of USA is the world champion in 2014, Russia in 2011European champion, Spain-European champion in 2013 and the representative of Romania during the preparation for the qualification for Eurobasket 2013. We want to underline the fact that the values of the good results obtained by the teams above were recorded at the Final Tournaments of the final competitions that took place in Turkey, Poland and France where the Romanian team disputed the qualification matches in the round-trip system.
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## 1. Introduction

The throw in the basketball is the most challenging ability in the sports that use a ball. Once perceived and executed in an incorrect manner, this ability is very difficult to be executed in a correct way. The throw is the most difficult technical element of the basketball, requiring muscles precision of high accuracy. The muscles need to memorize the moves of the segments of the body in order to be repeated when necessary, under conditions of efficiency [6, p. 181].
Kozar B., Vaughn R.E., Lord R.H., Whitfield, K.E., 1994 [1], support the idea that the free throw in the basketball is the most important, having $20 \%$ from the total
of points scored in NCAA. The throw becomes even more important in the economy of the game at the end of the game, when, in the last ten minutes of it, increases the percentage of the successful points.
Krause J., [3, pp. 225-226] takes into consideration the problem of maintaining constant the percentage of the free throws in the last 20 years in the competitions that are for the American colleges, and this problem is the barrier of the 70 percetanges. In order to overcome this value the American professor considers that realistic aims should be set for a long period of time, aims that begin with initiation in basketball, observing the fact that in NCAA to reach $80 \%$. In this manner, Jerry Krausse considers that

[^0]letters K , A and P must be sewed on the shorts of the players. In this way the three acronyms will remind the players the three demands of success: K - knowledge, $\mathrm{A}-$ attitude, P - practice.
The free throw should be the easier process in basketball, the players being alone, at 15 feet- 4.57 meters away from the hoop, without any defense or disturbing elements [5, pp1303].
The free throw requires a good concentration, but the most important element is a proper mechanical. Yet, the biomechanical move itself can not provide success in the case of a free throw in basketball, supporting the fact that the percentages of the correct throws during practice is superior to the one during the official match for the teams in NCAA [2, pp. 123-129].
The success in the case of free throws require an important amount of concentration, depending in this way on the ability of the player to relax and to have a positive thinking. The players can appeal to specific relation and motivation techniques, focusing on the fact that he is a good player, visualising at the same time the direction of the ball that goes into the basketball hoop [7, pp. 73].
Lam W. K., Maxwell J. P., Masters R. S. W., (2009), [4], state the fact that the basketball players must be efficient from the line of free throws because it determines the final result of the game. The basic technique, in their point of view is the foloowing: to have the proper leg for the arm that throws the ball, to bend your
knees and to come back to standing position at the same time the ball is thrown and the end of the move on tiptoes, to keep the ball with your clumsy arm, and to have your forearm that throws the ball in uprising position, your shoulder, elbow and wrist in the same line with the hoop before the throw, during the throw the ball must be moved from behind the chin up and in front, the extension of the arm that throws the ball, the follow-through move must be energetic in front so that the arm is in strong flexion on the forearm maintaing this position and the last touch of the ball must be by the tips of the fingers.
The importance of the success of free throws in the women's basketball must be considered a superior performances of the teams that can be seen as the standard. In this way, we consider that the representative teams of the United States of America- world champion in 2014, Russia-European champion, 2011, Spain- European champion, 2013 and the representative of our country for the calification for Eurobasket 2013. These teams are the elite of the world women's basketball.

We underline the fact the values of the performances that have been obtained by these have been recorded at the Final Tournaments of the final competitions that took place in Turkey, Poland, France. The Romanian team disputing the calification game in round-trip system, the statistics of the games has been presented by accessing the official website of the competitions under the supervision by the International Federation of Basketball, [8].

Free throw percentage- United States of America
Table 1

| Opponent team | Free throws made | Total free throws | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Serbia | 15 | 18 | $83,33 \%$ |
| Angola | 25 | 30 | $83,33 \%$ |
| Spain | 9 | 12 | $75,00 \%$ |
| Australia | 18 | 25 | $72,00 \%$ |
| France | 10 | 18 | $55,55 \%$ |
| China | 6 | 13 | $46,15 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 , 5 5} \%$ |

Table 2
Percentage throws / total points - United States of America

| Opponent team | Free throws made | Total free throws | Share |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Australia | 18 | 82 | $21,95 \%$ |
| Angola | 25 | 119 | $21,00 \%$ |
| Serbia | 15 | 94 | $15,95 \%$ |
| Spain | 9 | 77 | $11,68 \%$ |
| France | 10 | 94 | $10,63 \%$ |
| China | 6 | 87 | $6,89 \%$ |
| Average | $\mathbf{1 3 , 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 , 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 0 0} \%$ |

The United States of America team, Word Champion in Turkey, 2014, finished the 6 games with a total of 83 made free throws from 116 tried, with an average of

13,83 points / game made by this kind of shoot. The average of total scored points / game is 92,16 , that indicate a percentage / game totals points of $15 \%$.

Percentage free throws - Russia
Table 3

| Opponent team | Free throws made | Total free throws | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ceh Republic | 15 | 18 | $83,33 \%$ |
| Ceh Republic | 10 | 13 | $76,92 \%$ |
| Turkey (final) | 6 | 8 | $75,00 \%$ |
| Latvia | 9 | 13 | $69,23 \%$ |
| Belarus | 13 | 19 | $68,42 \%$ |
| Turkey | 11 | 17 | $64,70 \%$ |
| Slovacia | 18 | 30 | $60,00 \%$ |
| England | 10 | 19 | $52,63 \%$ |
| Lituania | 15 | 32 | $46,87 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 , 3 1} \%$ |

Table 4
Percentage throws / total points - Russia

| Opponent team | Free throws made | Total free throws | Share |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slovacia | 18 | 68 | $26,47 \%$ |
| Belarus | 13 | 51 | $25,49 \%$ |
| Latvia | 15 | 64 | $23,43 \%$ |
| Ceh Republic | 15 | 85 | $17,64 \%$ |
| England | 10 | 62 | $16,12 \%$ |
| Ceh Republic | 10 | 69 | $14,49 \%$ |
| Turkey | 11 | 80 | $13,75 \%$ |
| Letonia | 9 | 83 | $10,84 \%$ |
| Turkey (final) | 6 | 59 | $10,16 \%$ |
| Average | $\mathbf{1 1 , 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 2 1} \%$ |

The Russian team leads in the European Championship in 2011, held in Poland, with a percentage of $63,31 \%$ successful from the foul line, scoring 11.88 points per
game by free throws. Points scored from free throws share is $17,21 \%$ of the total scored points.

Table 5
Percentage free throws - Spain

| Opponent team | Free throws made | Total free throws | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Turkey | 13 | 15 | $86,66 \%$ |
| Serbia | 17 | 22 | $77,27 \%$ |
| Italy | 10 | 13 | $76,92 \%$ |
| Montenegro | 12 | 16 | $75,00 \%$ |
| Sweden | 21 | 29 | $72,41 \%$ |
| France (final) | 12 | 18 | $66,66 \%$ |
| Ceh Republic | 9 | 14 | $64,28 \%$ |
| Russia | 10 | 16 | $62,50 \%$ |
| Slovacia | 4 | 9 | $44,44 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 , 0 5} \%$ |

Table 6
Percentage throws / total points - Spain

| Opponent team | Free throws made | Total free throws | Share |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sweden | 21 | 73 | $28,76 \%$ |
| Turkey | 13 | 61 | $21,31 \%$ |
| Serbia | 17 | 88 | $19,88 \%$ |
| Montenegro | 12 | 66 | $18,18 \%$ |
| France (final) | 12 | 70 | $17,14 \%$ |
| Italy | 10 | 71 | $14,08 \%$ |
| Russia | 10 | 77 | $12,98 \%$ |
| Ceh Republic | 9 | 75 | $12,00 \%$ |
| Slovacia | 4 | 80 | $5,00 \%$ |
| Average | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 , 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 3 3} \%$ |

Two years later, Spain wins European gold detrimental to host the tournament, France, standing out in a successful free
throws percentage of $71,05 \%$, with a share of the points scored by the process of $16,33 \%$.

Table 7
Percentage free throws - Romania

| Opponent team | Free throws made | Total free throws | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deutchland | 15 | 19 | $78,94 \%$ |
| Bulgaria | 13 | 17 | $76,47 \%$ |
| Sweden | 18 | 26 | $69,23 \%$ |
| Spain | 8 | 12 | $66,66 \%$ |
| Sweden | 19 | 30 | $63,33 \%$ |
| Spain | 5 | 8 | $62,50 \%$ |
| Bulgaria | 8 | 13 | $61,53 \%$ |
| Deutchland | 7 | 13 | $53,84 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 , 3 9} \%$ |

Table 8
Percentage throws / total points - Romania

| Opponent team | Free throws made | Total free throws | Share |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sweden | 18 | 66 | $27,27 \%$ |
| Sweden | 19 | 78 | $24,35 \%$ |
| Deutchland | 15 | 64 | $23,43 \%$ |
| Bulgaria | 13 | 75 | $17,33 \%$ |
| Spain | 8 | 54 | $14,81 \%$ |
| Deutchland | 7 | 60 | $11,66 \%$ |
| Bulgaria | 8 | 70 | $11,42 \%$ |
| Spain | 5 | 51 | $9,80 \%$ |
| Average | $\mathbf{1 1 , 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 , 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 9 4} \%$ |

Representative of our country in Eurobasket 2013 qualifying campaign makes a number of positive results, which reflected a percentage of $67,39 \%$ of the throws scored from the foul line $(93 / 138)$, these figures indicate an average successful match points on free throws by 11,62 , with a share of $17.94 \%$.

Table 9
Table summarizing percentage and free throw percentage

| Team / competition / year | Made free throws percentage | Free throws share |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| WC, USA, 2014 | $71,55 \%$ | $15,00 \%$ |
| EC, Rusia, 2011 | $63,31 \%$ | $17,21 \%$ |
| EC, Spania, 2013 | $71,05 \%$ | $16,33 \%$ |
| România, 2012 | $67,39 \%$ | $17,94 \%$ |

## 2. The comparative analysis of results

From the analysis above table we can say the following:

- the Eurobasket 2013 qualifying campaign, the Romanian team reaches a percentage of $67,39 \%$ with a share of $17,94 \%$ of the total points scored.
- representative of Russia, winning the European Championship in 2011 held in Poland ends the competition with a percentage of successes from the foul line $63,31 \%$, indicating that a share of the points in this process successful $17,21 \%$ of the total those scored.
- Spain, European champion in 2013 takes a percentage of baskets scored from the free throw line for $71,05 \%$, representing $16,33 \%$ of the total points scored, and the US representative, world
champion in 2014 notes with 71,55\% successful throws, accounting for $15 \%$ of total points scored.
- level of the index on the percentage of free throws marked with advancing categories of performance, increases significantly, limiting however the top to a value around $71 \%$.


## 3. Conclusion

This analysis indicates that the share of free throws once again shows their importance in the economy of the game. In this case we can bring into question the tactics of each team addressed both in offensive and defensive at the game, depending on your opponent's weaknesses and strengths.

However, this weight can lead us to the idea that in most competitions, the free throw is one of the main processes to score in a basketball game, knowing that a shot is worth one point turned the scoreboard. Thus, a value around $17 \%$ of the share of successful free throw points of the total number of points represents one third of the procedures used to score in a basketball game.
The percentage of successful free throws in the game of basketball is also a very important element in the modern game economy, optimizing the performance of this indicator is a permanent concern of technicians.

## Acknolwdgements

This paper is supported by the Sectoral Operational Programme Human Resources Development (SOP HRD), ID 134378 financed from the European Social Fund and by the Romanian Government.

## References

1. Kozar, B., Vaughn, R. E., Lord, R. H., Whitfield, K. E., Dve B.: Importance of free throws at various stages of basketball games. In: Perceptual and Motor Skills, No.78(1), 1994, pp. 243-248.
2. Kozar, B., Vaughn, R.E., Lord, R.H., Whitfield, K.E.: Basketball free-throw performance: practice implications. In: Journal of Sport Behavior, 18(2), 1995, pp. 123-129.
3. Krause, J., Pim, R.: Coaching Basketball. New York. Professional Publishing, 2002, pp. 225-226
4. Lam, W. K., Maxwell, J. P., Masters, R.S.W.: Analogy Versus Explicit Learning of a Modified Basketball Shooting Task: Performance and Kinematic Outcomes. In: Journal of Sports Sciences, 27(2), 2009, pp. 179-191.
5. Okubo, H., Hubbard, M.: Dynamics of the basketball shot with application to the free throw. In: Journal of Sport Sciences, No. 24(12), 2006, pp. 13031314.
6. Paye, B., Paye, P.: Youth Basketball Drills, Second Edition. Champain, Illinois, Human Kinetics, USA, 2013.
7. Showalter D., Coaching Young basketball, $5{ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition, American Sport Education Program, Champain, Illinois, Human Kinetics, USA, 2012, p. 77.
8. International Basketball Federation. Events. Available on: www.fiba.com. Accessed at: 02.03.2015.

[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Department of Motric Performance, Transilvania University of Braşov.
    ${ }^{2}$ Department of Physical Education and Special Motricity, Transilvania University of Brasov.

