Bulletin of the *Transilvania* University of Braşov • Vol 13(62), No. 2 - 2020 Series III: Mathematics, Informatics, Physics, 373-386 https://doi.org/10.31926/but.mif.2020.13.62.2.1

## CAPUTO-FABRIZIO FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN FRÉCHET SPACES

### Saïd ABBAS \*,1, Mouffak BENCHOHRA<sup>2</sup>, and Hafsa GORINE<sup>3</sup>

#### Abstract

This paper deals with some existence and uniqueness of solutions for a class of functional Caputo-Fabrizio fractional differential equations. Some applications are made of a generalization of the classical Darbo fixed point theorem for Fréchet spaces associate with the concept of measure of noncompactness. The last section illustrates our results with some examples.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A33.

Key words: Fractional differential equation, Caputo–Fabrizio integral of fractional order, Caputo–Fabrizio fractional derivative, Fréchet space; fixed point.

## 1 Introduction

There has been a significant development in the area of the theory of fractional calculus and fractional differential equations [31]. For some fundamental results in this subject, we refer the reader to the monographs [3, 6, 7, 29, 23, 36], and the papers [4, 12]. These fractional differential equations involves Riemann-Liouville, Caputo, Hadamard and Hilfer fractional differential operators.

In recent times, a new fractional differential operator having a kernel with exponential decay has been introduced by Caputo and Fabrizio [15]. This approach of fractional derivative is known as the Caputo-Fabrizio operator which has attracted many research scholars due to the fact that it has a non-singular kernel. Several mathematicians were recently busy in development of Caputo-Fabrizio fractional differential equations, see; [11, 16, 20, 21, 22, 24, 30, 33], and the references therein.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>\* Corresponding author, Department of Mathematics, University of Saïda–Dr. Moulay Tahar, P.O. Box 138, EN-Nasr, 20000 Saïda, Algeria e-mail: abbasmsaid@yahoo.fr, said.abbas@univ-saida.dz

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Mathematics, Djillali Liabes University of Sidi Bel-Abbès, P.O. Box 89, Sidi Bel-Abbès 22000, Algeria, e-mail: benchohra@yahoo.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Mathematics, University of Saïda–Dr. Moulay Tahar, P.O. Box 138, EN-Nasr, 20000 Saïda, Algeria, e-mail: hafsagorine@gmail.com, hafsa.gorine@univ-saida.dz

Recently, several researchers obtained other results by application of the technique of measure of noncompactness; see [9, 10, 32], and the references therein. In [1, 2, 5], Abbas *et al.* cosidered several classes of fractional differential equations in Fréchet spaces. Motivated by the above papers, in this article we discuss the existence of solutions for the following Caputo-Fabrizio fractional differential equation

$$(^{CF}D_0^r u)(t) = f(t, u(t)); \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty),$$
 (1)

with the following initial condition

$$u(0) = u_0 \in E,\tag{2}$$

where T > 0,  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  is a (real or complex) Banach space,  $r \in (0, 1)$ ,  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times E \to E$  is a given function, and  ${}^{CF}D_0^r$  is the Caputo–Fabrizio fractional derivative of order  $r \in (0, 1)$ .

Next, we discuss the existence of solutions for the fractional differential equation (1), with the following nonlocal condition

$$u(0) + Q(u) = u_0, (3)$$

where  $u_0 \in E$ ,  $Q : C(\mathbb{R}_+, E) \to E$  is a given function. Nonlocal problems are used to represent mathematical models for evolution of various phenomena, such as nonlocal neural networks, nonlocal pharmacokinetics, nonlocal pollution and nonlocal combustion, see; [14, 17, 25, 34, 35], and the references therein.

This paper initiates the existence of solutions for functional differential equations involving the Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative in Fréchet spaces.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let C be the Banach space of all continuous functions v from I := [0, T]; T > 0into E with the supremum (uniform) norm

$$\|v\|_{\infty} := \sup_{t \in I} \|v(t)\|.$$

By  $L^1(I)$ , we denote the space of Bochner-integrable functions  $v: I \to E$  with the norm

$$||v||_1 = \int_0^T ||v(t)|| dt.$$

Let  $X := C(\mathbb{R}_+)$  be the Fréchet space of all continuous functions v from  $\mathbb{R}_+$ into E, equipped with the family of seminorms

$$||v||_n = \sup_{t \in [0,n]} ||v(t)||; \ n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and the distance

$$d(u,v) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \frac{\|u-v\|_n}{1+\|u-v\|_n}; \ u,v \in X.$$

**Definition 1.** A nonempty subset  $B \subset X$  is said to be bounded if

$$\sup_{v\in B} \|v\|_n < \infty; \ for \ n\in \mathbb{N}.$$

We recall the following definition of the notion of a sequence of measures of noncompactness [18, 19].

**Definition 2.** Let  $\mathcal{M}_F$  be the family of all nonempty and bounded subsets of a Fréchet space F. A family of functions  $\{\mu_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  where  $\mu_n : \mathcal{M}_F \to [0,\infty)$  is said to be a family of measures of noncompactness in the real Fréchet space F if it satisfies the following conditions for all  $B, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{M}_F$ :

- (a)  $\{\mu_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is full, that is:  $\mu_n(B) = 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  if and only if B is precompact,
- (b)  $\mu_n(B_1) \leq \mu_n(B_2)$  for  $B_1 \subset B_2$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- (c)  $\mu_n(ConvB) = \mu_n(B)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- (d) If  $\{B_i\}_{i=1,\dots}$  is a sequence of closed sets from  $\mathcal{M}_F$  such that  $B_{i+1} \subset B_i$ ;  $i = 1, \dots$  and if  $\lim_{i\to\infty} \mu_n(B_i) = 0$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the intersection set  $B_{\infty} := \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$  is nonempty.

#### Some Properties:

- (e) We call the family of measures of noncompactness  $\{\mu_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  to be homogeneous if  $\mu_n(\lambda B) = |\lambda|\mu_n(B)$ ; for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (f) If the family  $\{\mu_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  satisfied the condition  $\mu_n(B_1\cup B_2) \leq \mu_n(B_1) + \mu_n(B_2)$ , for  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ , it is called subadditive.
- (g) It is sublinear if both conditions (e) and (f) hold.
- (h) We say that the family of measures  $\{\mu_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  has the maximum property if

$$\mu_n(B_1 \cup B_2) = \max\{\mu_n(B_1), \mu_n(B_2)\},\$$

(i) The family of measures of noncompactness  $\{\mu_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is said to be regular if if the conditions (a), (g) and (h) hold; (full sublinear and has maximum property).

**Example 1.** [18], [27] For  $B \in \mathcal{M}_X$ ,  $x \in B$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , let us denote by  $\omega^n(x, \epsilon)$  the modulus of continuity of the function x on the interval [0, n]; that is,

$$\omega^n(x,\epsilon) = \sup\{\|x(t) - x(s)\| : t, s \in [0,n], |t-s| \le \epsilon\}.$$

Further, let us put

$$\omega^{n}(B,\epsilon) = \sup\{\omega^{n}(x,\epsilon) : x \in B\},\$$
$$\omega^{n}_{0}(B) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^{+}} \omega^{n}(B,\epsilon),\$$

$$\bar{\alpha}^n(B) = \sup_{t \in [0,n]} \alpha(B(t)) := \sup_{t \in [0,n]} \alpha(\{x(t) : x \in B\}),$$

and

$$\beta_n(B) = \omega_0^n(B) + \bar{\alpha}^n(B).$$

The family of mappings  $\{\beta_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  where  $\beta_n : \mathcal{M}_X \to [0,\infty)$ , satisfies the conditions (a)-(d) fom Definition 2.

**Lemma 1.** [13] If Y is a bounded subset of a Banach space F, then for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a sequence  $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset Y$  such that

$$\mu(Y) \le 2\mu(\{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty) + \epsilon,$$

where  $\mu$  is the Kuratowskii measure of noncompactness.

**Lemma 2.** [26] If  $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset L^1([0,n])$  is uniformly integrable, then  $\mu_n(\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty})$  is measurable for  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , and

$$\mu\left(\left\{\int_{1}^{t} u_{k}(s)ds\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\right) \leq 2\int_{1}^{t} \mu(\{u_{k}(s)\}_{k=1}^{\infty})ds,$$

for each  $t \in [0, n]$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $\Omega$  be a nonempty subset of a Fréchet space F, and let  $A : \Omega \to F$ be a continuous operator which transforms bounded subsets of onto bounded ones. One says that A satisfies the Darbo condition with constants  $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with respect to a family of measures of noncompactness  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , if

$$\mu_n(A(B)) \le k_n \mu_n(B)$$

for each bounded set  $B \subset \Omega$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If  $k_n < 1$ ;  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then A is called a contraction with respect to  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

**Definition 4.** [15, 24] The Caputo-Fabrizio fractional integral of order 0 < r < 1 for a function  $h \in L^1(I)$  is defined by

$${}^{CF}I^{r}h(\tau) = \frac{2(1-r)}{M(r)(2-r)}h(\tau) + \frac{2r}{M(r)(2-r)}\int_{0}^{\tau}h(x)dx, \quad \tau \ge 0$$

where M(r) is normalization constant depending on r.

**Definition 5.** [15, 24] The Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative for a function  $h \in C^1(I)$  of order 0 < r < 1, is defined by

$${}^{CF}D^{r}h(\tau) = \frac{(2-r)M(r)}{2(1-r)} \int_{0}^{\tau} \exp\left(-\frac{r}{1-r}(\tau-x)\right) h'(x)dx; \ \tau \in I.$$

Note that  $({}^{CF}D^{r})(h) = 0$  if and only if h is a constant function.

376

**Lemma 3.** Let  $h \in L^1(I)$ . A function  $u \in \mathbb{C}$  is a solution of problem

$$\begin{cases} ({}^{CF}D_0^r u)(t) = h(t); & t \in I \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$
(4)

if and only if u satisfies the following integral equation

$$u(t) = C + a_r h(t) + b_r \int_0^t h(s) ds,$$
(5)

where

$$a_r = \frac{2(1-r)}{(2-r)M(r)}, \ b_r = \frac{2r}{(2-r)M(r)}, \ C = u_0 - a_r h(0)$$

*Proof.* Suppose that u satisfies (4). From Proposition 1 in [24]; the equation

$$({}^{CF}D_0^r u)(t) = h(t)$$

implies that

$$u(t) - u(0) = a_r(h(t) - h(0)) + b_r \int_0^t h(s) ds.$$

Thus from the initial condition  $u(0) = u_0$ , we obtain

$$u(t) = u_0 - a_r h(0) + a_r h(t) + b_r \int_0^t h(s) ds.$$

Hence we get (5).

Conversely, if u satisfies (5), then  $({}^{CF}D_0^r u)(t) = h(t)$ ; for  $t \in I$ , and  $u(0) = u_0$ .  $\Box$ 

In the sequel we will make use of the following generalization of the classical Darbo fixed point theorem for Fréchet spaces.

**Theorem 1.** [18, 19] Let  $\Omega$  be a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of a Fréchet space F and let  $V : \Omega \to \Omega$  be a continuous mapping. Suppose that Vis a contraction with respect to a family of measures of noncompactness  $\{\mu_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ . Then V has at least one fixed point in the set  $\Omega$ .

## **3** Existence Results

Now, we shall prove the main results concerning the existence of solutions of our problems.

Let us introduce the following hypotheses.

- (H<sub>1</sub>) The function  $t \mapsto f(t, u)$  is measurable on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  for each  $u \in E$ , and the function  $u \mapsto f(t, u)$  is continuous on E for a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .
- $(H_2)$  There exists a continuous function  $p: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$||f(t,u)|| \le p(t)(1+||u||); \text{ for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \text{ and each } u \in E.$$

 $(H_3)$  For each bounded set  $B \subset E$  and for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , we have

$$\mu(f(t,B)) \le p(t)\mu(B),$$

where  $\mu$  is a measure of noncompactness on the Banach space E.

(H<sub>4</sub>) The function  $Q: C(\mathbb{R}_+, E) \to E$  is continuous, and there exists a constant  $q^* > 0$ , such that

$$||Q(u)|| \le q^*(1+||u||_{\infty}); \text{ for each } u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, E).$$

Moreover, for each bounded set  $B_1 \subset X$ , we have

$$\mu(Q(B_1)) \le q^* \sup_{t \in I_n} \mu(B_1(t)),$$

where  $B_1(t) = \{u(t) : u \in B_1\}; t \in I_n; n \in \mathbb{N}.$ 

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$p_n^* = \sup_{t \in [0,n]} p(t),$$

and define on  $X := C(\mathbb{R}_+, E)$  the family of measure of noncompactness by

$$\mu_n(D) = \omega_0^n(D) + \sup_{t \in [0,n]} \mu(D(t)),$$

where  $D(t) = \{v(t) \in E : v \in D\}; t \in [0, n].$ 

#### 3.1 The Initial Value Problem

In this section, we are concerned with the existence results of the problem (1)-(2).

**Definition 6.** By a solution of the problem (1)-(2) we mean a continuous function  $u \in X$  that satisfies the integral equation

$$u(t) = c + a_r f(t, u(t)) + b_r \int_0^t f(s, u(s)) ds,$$

where  $c = u_0 - a_r f(0, u_0)$ .

**Theorem 2.** Assume that the hypotheses  $(H_1) - (H_3)$  hold. If

$$\ell_n := p_n^* (2a_r + 4nb_r) < 1;$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , then the problem (1)-(2) has at least one solution.

*Proof.* Consider the operator  $N: X \to X$  defined by:

$$(Nu)(t) = c + a_r f(t, u(t)) + b_r \int_0^t f(s, u(s)) ds.$$
 (6)

Clearly, the fixed points of the operator N are solution of the problem (1)-(2).

For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we set

$$R_n \ge \frac{\|c\| + p_n^*(a_r + nb_r)}{1 - p_n^*(a_r + nb_r)},$$

and we consider the ball

$$B_{R_n} := B(0, R_n) = \{ w \in X : ||w||_n \le R_n \}.$$

For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , and each  $u \in B_{R_n}$  and  $t \in [0, n]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Nu)(t)| &\leq ||c|| + a_r ||f(t, u(t))|| + b_r \int_0^t ||f(s, u(s))|| ds \\ &\leq ||c|| + a_r p(t)(1 + ||u(t)||) + b_r \int_0^t p(s)(1 + ||u(s)||) ds \\ &\leq ||c|| + a_r p_n^*(1 + R_n) + b_r p_n^*(1 + R_n) \int_0^t ds \\ &\leq ||c|| + p_n^*(a_r + nb_r)(1 + R_n) \\ &\leq R_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|N(u)\|_n \le R_n. \tag{7}$$

This proves that N transforms the ball  $B_{R_n}$  into itself. We shall show that the operator  $N: B_{R_n} \to B_{R_n}$  satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 1. The proof will be given in two steps.

**Step 1.**  $N(B_{R_n})$  is bounded and  $N : B_{R_n} \to B_{R_n}$  is continuous. Since  $N(B_{R_n}) \subset B_{R_n}$  and  $B_{R_n}$  is bounded, then  $N(B_{R_n})$  is bounded. Let  $\{u_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence such that  $u_k \to u$  in  $B_{R_n}$ . Then, for each  $t \in [0, n]$ , we have

$$\|(Nu_k)(t) - (Nu)(t)\| \le a_r \|f(t, u_k(t)) - f(t, u(t))\|) + b_r \int_0^t \|f(s, u_k(s)) - f(s, u(s))\| ds.$$

Since  $u_k \to u$  as  $k \to \infty$ , the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$||N(u_k) - N(u)||_n \to 0 \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.$$

**Step 2.** For each bounded equicontinuous subset D of  $B_{R_n}$ ,  $\mu_n(N(D)) \leq \ell_n \mu_n(D)$ .

From Lemmas 1 and 2, for any  $D \subset B_{R_n}$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a sequence

 $\{u_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset D$ , such that for all  $t \in [0, n]$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \mu((ND)(t)) &= \mu\left(\left\{c + a_r f(t, u(t)) + b_r \int_0^t f(s, u(s)) ds; \ u \in D\right\}\right) \\ &\leq 2\mu\left(\left\{a_r f(t, u_k(t))\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\right) + 2\mu\left(\left\{b_r \int_0^t f(s, u_k(s)) ds\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\right) + \epsilon \\ &\leq 2a_r \mu\left(\left\{f(t, u_k(t))\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\right) + 4b_r \int_0^t \mu\left(\left\{f(s, u_k(s))\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\right) ds + \epsilon \\ &\leq 2a_r p(t) \mu\left(\left\{u_k(t)\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\right) + 4b_r \int_0^t p(s) \mu\left(\left\{u_k(s)\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\right) ds + \epsilon \\ &\leq 2a_r p_n^* \mu_n(D) + 4nb_r p_n^* \mu_n(D) + \epsilon \\ &= (2a_r + 4nb_r) p_n^* \ \mu_n(D) + \epsilon. \end{split}$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, then

$$\mu((ND)(t)) \le p_n^*(2a_r + 4nb_r) \ \mu_n(D).$$

Thus

$$\mu_n(N(D)) \le p_n^*(2a_r + 4nb_r) \ \mu_n(D).$$

As a consequence of steps 1 and 2 together with Theorem 1, we can conclude that N has at least one fixed point in  $B_{R_n}$  which is a solution of problem (1)-(2).

### 3.2 The Problem with Nonlocal Condition

Now, we are concerned with the existence results of the problem (1),(3).

**Definition 7.** By a solution of the problem (1), (3) we mean a continuous function  $u \in X$  that satisfies the integral equation

$$u(t) = c - Q(u) + a_r f(t, u(t)) + b_r \int_0^t f(s, u(s)) ds,$$

where  $c = u_0 - a_r f(0, u_0)$ .

Now, we shall prove the following theorem concerning the existence of solutions of problem (1),(3).

**Theorem 3.** Assume that the hypotheses  $(H_1) - (H_4)$  hold. If

$$\lambda_n := 2q^* + p_n^*(2a_r + 4nb_r) < 1,$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , then the problem (1),(3) has at least one solution.

*Proof.* Consider the operator  $N: X \to X$  defined by:

$$(Gu)(t) = c - Q(u) + a_r f(t, u(t)) + b_r \int_0^t f(s, u(s)) ds.$$
 (8)

Clearly, the fixed points of the operator G are solution of the problem (1),(3).

For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we set

$$\rho_n \ge \frac{\|c\| + q^* + p_n^*(a_r + nb_r)}{1 - q^* - p_n^*(a_r + nb_r)},$$

and we consider the ball

$$B_{\rho_n} := B(0, \rho_n) = \{ w \in X : \|w\|_n \le \rho_n \}.$$

For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , and each  $u \in B_{\rho_n}$  and  $t \in [0, n]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Gu)(t)\| &\leq \|c\| + \|Q(u)\| + a_r \|f(t, u(t))\| + b_r \int_0^t \|f(s, u(s))\| ds \\ &\leq \|c\| + q^* (1 + \|u\|_{\infty}) + a_r p(t) (1 + \|u(t)\|) + b_r \int_0^t p(s) (1 + \|u(s)\|) ds \\ &\leq \|c\| + q^* (1 + \rho_n) + a_r p_n^* (1 + \rho_n) + b_r p_n^* (1 + \rho_n) \int_0^t ds \\ &\leq \|c\| + q^* (1 + \rho_n) + p_n^* (a_r + nb_r) (1 + \rho_n) \\ &\leq \rho_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$||G(u)||_n \le \rho_n. \tag{9}$$

This proves that G transforms the ball  $B_{R_n}$  into itself. As in the proof of Theorem 2, we can show that the operator  $G: B_{\rho_n} \to B_{\rho_n}$  satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 1. Indeed;  $G(B_{\rho_n})$  is bounded, and we can easily prove that  $G: B_{\rho_n} \to B_{\rho_n}$  is continuous. Next, from Lemmas 1 and 2, for any  $D \subset B_{\rho_n}$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

there exists a sequence  $\{u_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset D$ , such that for all  $t \in [0, n]$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \mu((GD)(t)) &= \mu\left(\left\{c - Q(u) + a_r f(t, u(t)) + b_r \int_0^t f(s, u(s)) ds; \ u \in D\right\}\right) \\ &\leq 2\mu\left(\{Q(u) + a_r f(t, u_k(t))\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\right) \\ &+ 2\mu\left(\left\{b_r \int_0^t f(s, u_k(s)) ds\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\right) + \epsilon \\ &\leq 2\mu\left(\{Q(u_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\right) + 2a_r \mu\left(\{f(t, u_k(t))\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\right) \\ &+ 4b_r \int_0^t \mu\left(\{f(s, u_k(s))\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\right) ds + \epsilon \\ &\leq 2q^* mu\left(\{u_k(t)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\right) + 2a_r p(t)\mu\left(\{u_k(t)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\right) \\ &+ 4b_r \int_0^t p(s)\mu\left(\{u_k(s)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\right) ds + \epsilon \\ &\leq 2q^* \mu_n(D) + 2a_r p_n^* \mu_n(D) + 4nb_r p_n^* \mu_n(D) + \epsilon \\ &= [2q^* + p_n^*(2a_r + 4nb_r)]\mu_n(D) + \epsilon. \end{split}$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, then

$$\mu((GD)(t)) \le [2q^* + p_n^*(2a_r + 4nb_r)]\mu_n(D).$$

Thus

$$\mu_n(G(D)) \le [2q^* + p_n^*(2a_r + 4nb_r)]\mu_n(D).$$

Hence, from Theorem 1, we can conclude that G has at least one fixed point in  $B_{\rho_n}$  which is a solution of problem(1),(3).

# 4 Examples

Let

$$l^{1} = \left\{ u = (u_{1}, u_{2}, \dots, u_{n}, \dots), \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |u_{k}| < \infty \right\}$$

be the Banach space with the norm

$$\|u\| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |u_k|,$$

and  $C(\mathbb{R}_+, l^1)$  be the Fréchet space of all continuous functions v from  $\mathbb{R}_+$  into  $l^1$ , equipped with the family of seminorms

$$||v||_n = \sup_{t \in [0,n]} ||v(t)||; \ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Example 1.** Consider the following problem of Caputo-Fabrizio fractional differential equations

$$\begin{cases} ({}^{CF}D_0^{\frac{1}{2}}u_k)(t) = f_k(t, u(t)); \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u(0) = (1, 2^{-1}, 2^{-2}, \dots, 2^{-n}, \cdots); \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \ k = 1, 2, \cdots, \end{cases}$$
(10)

where

$$\begin{cases} f_k(t,u) = \frac{(2^{-k} + u_k(t))\sin t}{64(a_{\frac{1}{2}} + 2nb_{\frac{1}{2}})(1 + \sqrt{t})}; \ t \in (0, +\infty), \ u \in l^1, \\ f_k(0,u) = 0; \qquad u \in l^1, \end{cases}$$

for each  $t \in [0, n]$ ;  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , with

$$f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k, \dots), and u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k, \dots).$$

The hypothesis  $(H_2)$  is satisfied with

$$\begin{cases} p(t) = \frac{|\sin t|}{64(a_{\frac{1}{2}} + 2nb_{\frac{1}{2}})(1 + \sqrt{t})}; \ t \in (0, +\infty), \\ p(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

So; for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $p_n^* = \frac{1}{64(a_{\frac{1}{2}} + 2nb_{\frac{1}{2}})}$ , and

$$\ell_n := p_n^*(2a_r + 4nb_r) = \frac{1}{64(a_{\frac{1}{2}} + 2nb_{\frac{1}{2}})}(2a_{\frac{1}{2}} + 4nb_{\frac{1}{2}}) = \frac{1}{32} < 1.$$

Simple computations show that all conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Consequently, the problem (10) has at least one solution defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

**Example 2.** Consider now the following problem of Caputo-Fabrizio fractional differential equations

$$\begin{cases} ({}^{CF}D_0^{\frac{1}{2}}u_k)(t) = f_k(t, u(t)); \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u(0) + Q(u) = (1, 2^{-1}, 2^{-2}, \dots, 2^{-n}, \cdots); \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \ k = 1, 2, \cdots, \end{cases}$$
(11)

where  $Q = (Q_1, Q_2, ..., Q_k, ...), Q : C(\mathbb{R}_+, l^1) \to l^1$ , and

$$Q_k(u) = \frac{2^{-k} + u_k}{64}; \ k = 1, 2, \cdots$$

In addition to hypotheses  $(H_1)-(H_3)$ , the hypothesis  $(H_4)$  is satisfies with  $q^* = \frac{1}{64}$ . Also we have

$$\lambda_n := 2q_n^* + p_n^*(2a_r + 4nb_r) = \frac{1}{32} + \frac{1}{64(a_{\frac{1}{2}} + 2nb_{\frac{1}{2}})}(2a_{\frac{1}{2}} + 4nb_{\frac{1}{2}}) = \frac{1}{16} < 1.$$

Simple computations show that all conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied. Consequently, the problem (11) has at least one solution defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

### References

- Abbas, S., Albarakati, W., Benchohra, M. and N'Guérékata, G. M., Existence and Ulam stabilities for Hadamard fractional integral equations in Fréchet spaces, J. Frac. Calc. Appl. 7 (2016), no. 2, 1-12.
- [2] Abbas, S., Benchohra, M., Berhoun, F. and Henderson, J. Caputo-Hadamard fractional differential Cauchy problem in Fréchet spaces, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas, 113 (2019), 2335-2344.
- [3] Abbas, S., Benchohra, M., J.R. Graef and J. Henderson, Implicit Fractional Differential and Integral Equations: Existence and Stability, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2018.
- [4] Abbas, S., Benchohra, M., and Gorine, H., Caputo-Hadamard fractional differential equations with four-point boundary conditions, Commun. Appl. Nonlinear Anal. 26 (2019), no. 3, 68-79.
- [5] Abbas, S., Benchohra, M., Hamidi, H. and Nieto, J.J., Hilfer and Hadamard fractional differential equations in Fréchet spaces, J. Pure Appl. Math. 10 (2019), 102-116.
- [6] Abbas, S., Benchohra, M. and N'Guérékata, G.M., Topics in fractional differential equations, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [7] Abbas, S., Benchohra, M. and N'Guérékata, G.M., Advanced fractional differential and integral equations, Nova Science Publishers, New York, 2015.
- [8] Allaire, G. and Kaber, S.M., Numerical linear algebra; ser. Texts in Applied Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [9] Alvàrez, J.C. Measure of noncompactness and fixed points of nonexpansive condensing mappings in locally convex spaces, Rev. Real. Acad. Cienc. Exact. Fis. Natur. Madrid 79 (1985), 53-66.
- [10] Ayerbee Toledano, J.M., Dominguez Benavides, T. and Lopez Acedo, G. Measures of noncompactness in metric fixed point theory, Operator Theory, Advances and Applications, vol 99, Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 1997.
- [11] Bashiri, T., Vaezpour, S.M. and Nieto, J.J. Approximating solution of Fabrizio-Caputo Volterra's model for population growth in a closed system by homotopy analysis method, J. Funct. Spaces 2018 (2018), Article ID 3152502.
- [12] Benchohra, M, Henderson, J. Ntouyas, S.K. and A. Ouahab, A., Existence results for functional differential equations of fractional order, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 338 (2008), 1340-1350.
- Bothe, D. Multivalued perturbation of m-accretive differential inclusions, Isr. J. Math. 108 (1998), 109-138.

- [14] Byszewski, L., Theorems about the existence and uniqueness of solutions of a semilinear evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 162 (1991), 494-505.
- [15] Caputo, M. and Fabrizio, M., A new definition of fractional derivative without singular kernel, Prog. Fract. Differ. Appl. 1 (2015), no. 2, 73-85.
- [16] Daftardar-Gejji, V. and Jafari, H. An iterative method for solving non linear functional equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 316 (2006), 753-763.
- [17] Deng, K., Exponential decay of solutions of semilinear parabolic equations with nonlocal initial conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 179 (1993), 630-637.
- [18] Dudek, S., Fixed point theorems in Fréchet algebras and Fréchet spaces and applications to nonlinear integral equations, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math., 11 (2017), 340-357.
- [19] Dudek, S. and Olszowy, L., Continuous dependence of the solutions of nonlinear integral quadratic Volterra equation on the parameter, J. Funct. Spaces, V. 2015, Article ID 471235, 9 pages.
- [20] Gómez-Aguilar, J.F. and Atangana, A.,: New insight in fractional differentiation: power, exponential decay and Mittag-Leffler laws and applications, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 132(13), 1–21 (2017).
- [21] Gómez-Aguilar, J.F., Torres, L., Yépez-Martínez, H., Baleanu, D., Reyes, J.M. and Sosa, I.O., Fractional Liénard type model of a pipeline within the fractional derivative without singular kernel, Adv. Differ. Equ. 2016, 173 (2016).
- [22] Gómez-Aguilar, J.F., Yépez-Martínez, H., Torres-Jiménez, J., Cérdova-Fraga, T., Escobar-Jiménez, R.F. and Olivares-Peregrino, V.H., *Homotopy* perturbation transform method for nonlinear differential equations involving to fractional operator with exponential kernel, Adv. Differ. Equ. 68 (2017).
- [23] Kilbas, A.A., Srivastava, H.M. and Trujillo, J.J. Theory and applications of fractional differential equations, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [24] Losada, J. and Nieto, J.J., Properties of a new fractional derivative without singular kernel, Progr. Fract. DIffer. Appl. 1 (2015), no. 2, 87-92.
- [25] Mckibben, M., Discovering evolution equations with applications: Volume 1 Deterministic Models, Chapman and Hall/CRC Appl. Math. Nonlinear Sci. Ser., 2011.
- [26] Mönch, H., Boundary value problems for nonlinear ordinary differential equations of second order in Banach spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 4 (1980), 985-999.
- [27] Olszowy, L., Existence of mild solutions for the semilinear nonlocal problem in Banach spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 81 (2013) 211-223.

- [28] O'Regan, D. and Precup, R., Theorems of Leray-Schauder type and applications, Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, 2001.
- [29] Samko, S.G., Kilbas, A.A. and Marichev, O.I.: Fractional integrals and derivatives. Theory and applications, Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, 1987, Engl. Trans. from the Russian.
- [30] Shaikh, A., Tassaddiq, A., Nisar, K.S. and Baleanu, D., Analysis of differential equations involving Caputo-Fabrizio fractional operator and its applications to reaction-diffusion equations, Adv. Difference Equ., 178 (2019), 14pp.
- [31] Tarasov, V.E., Fractional dynamics: application of fractional calculus to dynamics of particles, fields and media, Springer, Heidelberg; Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2010.
- [32] Toledano, J.M.A., Benavides, T.D. and Acedo, G.L., Measures of noncompactness in metric fixed point theory, Birkhauser, Basel, 1997.
- [33] Xiao-Jun, X.J., Srivastava, H.M. and Machado, J.T., A new fractional derivative without singular kernel, Therm. Sci. 20 (2016), no. 2, 753-756.
- [34] Xue, X., Semilinear nonlocal differential equations with measure of noncompactness in Banach spaces, J. Nanjing. Univ. Math. 24 (2007), 264-276.
- [35] Xue, X., Existence of semilinear differential equations with nonlocal initial conditions, Acta. Math. Sini. 23 (2007), 983-988.
- [36] Zhou, Y., Wang, J.-R. and Zhang, L., Basic theory of fractional differential equations, Second edition. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2017.